## Remarks

The examiner has rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claims the subject matter. The applicant has amended the claim as suggested by the examiner. Therefore, the applicant respectfully argues that rejection has been traversed and that claim 1 is in allowable form. Additionally, claims 2-13 are also allowable since they are dependent on claim 1.

Respectfully submitted, **Douglas Anthony Able** 

Joseph Arrambide, Reg. No. 39,589

Toseph Annambrole

**Attorney for Applicants** 

Lexmark International, Inc., IP Law Dept.

740 West New Circle Road

Lexington, KY 40550

(859) 232-3495